

Condensate fraction and critical temperature of a trapped interacting Bose gas

S. Giorgini¹, L. P. Pitaevskii^{2,3} and S. Stringari¹

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento,*

and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, I-38050 Povo, Italy

²*Department of Physics, Technion, 32000 Haifa, Israel*

³*Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, 117454 Moscow, Russia*

By using a mean field approach, based on the Popov approximation, we calculate the temperature dependence of the condensate fraction of an interacting Bose gas confined in an anisotropic harmonic trap. For systems interacting with repulsive forces we find a significant decrease of the condensate fraction and of the critical temperature with respect to the predictions of the non-interacting model. These effects go in the opposite direction compared to the case of a homogeneous gas. An analytic result for the shift of the critical temperature holding to first order in the scattering length is also derived.

02.70.Lq, 67.40.Db

The recent experiments on Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in magnetically trapped atomic vapours [1] have stimulated a new interest in the theoretical study of inhomogeneous Bose gases. Although the atom clouds realized in these experiments are very dilute, the effects due to the interatomic forces are known to be important at low temperature. In particular, the shape and the energy of the condensate cloud [2,3] as well as the dispersion law of the elementary excitations [4] are strongly affected by the interaction. In the very recent experiments by the Boulder and MIT groups [5–7], the measured release energy and excitation frequencies of the collective modes have been found to be in good agreement with the theoretical predictions, thereby revealing important features of the trapped Bose condensed gases which are undoubtedly connected to the interparticle interaction. The question of how two-body forces affect the thermodynamic properties of these systems has been also the object of several theoretical investigations [8]. The critical temperature of the BEC transition in an homogeneous dilute gas has been recently calculated [9] using the renormalization group theory. The result is a shift towards higher temperatures, with respect to the prediction of the ideal Bose gas. Similar results have been also obtained with path integral Monte Carlo simulations [10]. However, no definitive conclusions have been so far drawn on the behavior of the condensate fraction, nor of the critical temperature in the presence of a confining potential [11]. First experimental data on these relevant quantities are now becoming available [5,12].

Finite size effects on the temperature dependence of the condensate fraction and on the critical temperature in the presence of an external trap have been recently investigated by several authors within the non-interacting model [13,14]. In the presence of an anisotropic harmonic potential of the form $V_{ext} = m(\omega_x^2 x^2 + \omega_y^2 y^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2)/2$ this model predicts, in the large N limit, the well known results for the critical temperature

$$T_c^0 = \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B} \left(\frac{N}{\zeta(3)} \right)^{1/3} \simeq 0.94 \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B} N^{1/3} , \quad (1)$$

where $\omega = (\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z)^{1/3}$ is the geometrical average of the oscillator frequencies, and for the temperature dependence of the number of atoms in the condensate

$$\frac{N_0(T)}{N} = 1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c^0} \right)^3 . \quad (2)$$

Results (1) and (2) are obtained using the semiclassical approximation for the excited states and setting the chemical potential equal to zero at the transition. The first correction to the critical temperature (1) due to the finite number of atoms in the trap has been recently shown [14] to obey the law

$$\frac{\delta T_c^0}{T_c^0} = - \frac{\zeta(2)}{2\zeta(3)^{2/3}} \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\omega} N^{-1/3} \simeq -0.73 \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\omega} N^{-1/3} , \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_x + \omega_y + \omega_z)/3$ is the mean frequency. This result can be obtained by still employing the semiclassical description for the excited states, but keeping the quantum value $\mu = 3\hbar\bar{\omega}/2$ for the chemical potential at the transition. The discretization of the excited energy levels gives rise to higher order corrections to δT_c^0 .

In this Letter we present results for the temperature dependence of the condensate fraction and for the critical temperature of a dilute Bose gas interacting with repulsive forces and confined in an harmonic potential. We use a mean field approach and the semiclassical approximation for the excited states. This approximation is expected to be accurate for temperatures significantly larger than the oscillator temperature $\hbar\omega/k_B$. This condition is well satisfied in a useful range of temperatures provided N is sufficiently large. In the presence of repulsive interactions we find that the thermal depletion of the condensate is enhanced and the critical temperature is shifted towards lower temperatures. These results go in the opposite direction compared to the homogeneous case, revealing an interesting behavior exhibited by a confined Bose gas.

Our starting point is the finite temperature generalization of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation within the Popov approximation [15,16]

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + (V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + g(n_0(\mathbf{r}) + 2n_T(\mathbf{r}))) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0 , \quad (4)$$

and

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t) + (V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + 2gn(\mathbf{r})) \Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t) + gn_0(\mathbf{r}) \Phi'^*(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (5)$$

The first equation describes the space variations of the condensate wave function $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ at statistical

equilibrium, where $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is the particle field operator. The second one is the equation for the fluctuations of the condensate $\Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle - \Phi(\mathbf{r})$ which give the elementary excitations of the system. In Eqs. (4) and (5), V_{ext} is the external potential, μ is the chemical potential, $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a/m$ is the interaction coupling constant fixed by the s -wave scattering length a , n_0 is the equilibrium condensate density $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2$, $n(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ is the particle density and finally n_T is the density of the thermally excited particles $n_T(\mathbf{r}) = n(\mathbf{r}) - n_0(\mathbf{r})$. Equations (4), (5) are obtained from the equation of motion for the particle field operator $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, by treating the cubic interaction term $g\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in the mean field scheme. The Popov approximation consists in neglecting the anomalous density $m_T(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \Phi(\mathbf{r})^2$ entering the interaction term. As discussed in Ref. [16] this approximation is expected to be good for high temperatures where $m_T \ll n_T$ and also in the low-temperature regime where n_T and m_T are of the same order, but both are negligible. The present mean field approach is expected to provide correctly the thermodynamic properties of the system, apart from the critical behavior near T_c where the mean field approach is known to fail.

The energies of the elementary excitations can be explicitly obtained from Eq. (5) using the semiclassical WKB approximation. Let us write the fluctuations of the condensate in the form $\Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t) = A \exp(i\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)) + B \exp(-i\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t))$. In WKB approximation the coupled equations for $\Phi'(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\Phi'^*(\mathbf{r}, t)$, given by Eq. (5) and its complex conjugate, can be solved in an explicit way, yielding the semiclassical excitation spectrum

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + 2gn(\mathbf{r})\right)^2 - g^2 n_0^2(\mathbf{r})} , \quad (6)$$

where $p = \hbar\nabla\varphi$ and $\epsilon = -\hbar\partial\varphi/\partial t$ are respectively the impulse and the energy of the excitation. For a homogeneous system at low temperatures, where n_T can be neglected, the above excitations coincide with the usual Bogoliubov spectrum. The quasi-particles with energies $\epsilon(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r})$ are distributed according to the Bose distribution function

$$f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\exp(\epsilon(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r})/k_B T) - 1} , \quad (7)$$

whereas the particle distribution function can be obtained from the Bogoliubov canonical transformations and is given by

$$F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) = - \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mu} \right)_{n_0} f(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) , \quad (8)$$

where the partial derivative is taken at constant condensate density n_0 . The non-condensate density is then obtained by integrating $F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r})$ in momentum space

$$n_T(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) , \quad (9)$$

and the total number of atoms out of the condensate is given by

$$N_T = \int d^3\mathbf{r} n_T(\mathbf{r}) . \quad (10)$$

In the above equations the chemical potential μ is fixed by the normalization condition

$$N = N_0(T) + N_T , \quad (11)$$

where $N_0(T) = \int d^3\mathbf{r} n_0(\mathbf{r})$ is the number of atoms in the condensate, with $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ fixed by the solution of Eq. (4).

We have solved Eqs. (4) - (11) in a self-consistent way employing the following procedure:

- i) Equation (4) is solved, using the method described in Ref. [3], for the condensate density $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ and the chemical potential μ , by keeping fixed the number $N_0(T)$ of particles in the condensate and the density $n_T(\mathbf{r})$ of thermally excited atoms.
- ii) The condensate density and chemical potential found in step 1) are used to calculate the excitation energies from Eq. (6). A new density n_T is then obtained from Eq. (9), and new values for the number of atoms out of the condensate, N_T , and in the condensate, $N_0(T)$, are derived from Eqs. (10) and (11).
- iii) Step 1) and step 2) are repeated until convergence is reached.

It is worth noticing that the present method accounts for finite size effects because of the quantum nature of Eq. (4) for the order parameter and of the corresponding value of the chemical potential. In particular, in the absence of interactions, it reproduces result (3) for the shift of the critical temperature.

In Fig. 1 we present our results for the temperature dependence of the condensate fraction $N_0(T)/N$ for a system of 5000 Rb atoms interacting with scattering length $a = 110a_0$, where a_0 is the Bohr radius, and trapped in an axially deformed harmonic potential fixed by $a_{HO} = (\hbar/m\omega)^{1/2} = 7.92 \times 10^{-5}$ cm and by the deformation parameter $\lambda = \omega_z/\omega_x = \omega_z/\omega_y = \sqrt{8}$. These values correspond to the experimental conditions of Ref. [6]. As clearly emerges from the figure, finite size effects are not negligible for this value of N . However, interaction effects are more important and result in a sizable quenching of the condensate fraction. Our results can be understood by looking at the role of the two-body interaction in Eqs. (6) - (10). The chemical potential is significantly enhanced, thereby favouring the thermal depletion; on the other hand the interaction terms in g of Eq. (6) have a minor effect because of the small overlap between $n_0(\mathbf{r})$ and $n_T(\mathbf{r})$. This behaviour differs from the one exhibited by a homogeneous dilute Bose

gas where one finds a reduction of the thermal depletion with respect to the prediction of the non-interacting model [9,10].

When the number of atoms in the trap increases, the effects due to the interaction become more and more important, as explicitly shown in Fig. 2, where we report results for $N_0(T)$ corresponding to three values of N ($N = 10^5, 10^6, 10^7$). The calculations of Fig. 2 have been carried out using the value 2.56×10^{-3} for the ratio a/a_{HO} and $\lambda = 18/320$. This choice corresponds to the experimental situation of Ref. [5] for Na atoms. We have checked that for such large values of N the results for $N_0(T)$ are practically independent of the value of the deformation parameter λ . Notice that the quenching effects shown in Fig. 2 are quantitatively similar to the ones of Fig. 1, despite the much larger values of N contained in the trap. This is due to the fact that in the trap of Fig. 2 (MIT-type trap) the ratio a/a_{HO} is a factor 3 smaller than in the trap of Fig. 1 (JILA-type trap).

The shift δT_c in the critical temperature can be estimated analytically to the lowest order in the coupling constant g by studying the behavior of the trapped gas for temperatures $T \geq T_c$. In this case the order parameter $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ vanishes and the dispersion of the elementary excitations takes the simple Hartree-Fock form

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + 2gn_T(\mathbf{r}) - \mu . \quad (12)$$

To the lowest order in g the total number of particles above T_c can be written in the following form

$$N = N_T^0(\mu) - 2g \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial n_T^0}{\partial \mu} n_T^0(\mathbf{r}, \mu) , \quad (13)$$

where we have used Eqs. (7) - (10) with $\epsilon(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r})$ given by Eq. (12). The quantity $n_T^0(\mathbf{r}, \mu)$ is the non-condensed density given by the non-interacting model

$$n_T^0(\mathbf{r}, \mu) = \lambda_T^{-3} g_{3/2}(\exp(-(V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu)/k_B T)) , \quad (14)$$

where $\lambda_T = \hbar(2\pi/mk_B T)^{1/2}$ is the thermal wavelength and $g_{3/2}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n/n^{3/2}$.

Bose-Einstein condensation starts at the temperature T_c for which the chemical potential reaches the energy of the lowest solution of the Schrödinger equation corresponding to the Hamiltonian (12). To the lowest order in g and for large values of N one finds

$$\mu = \frac{3\hbar\bar{\omega}}{2} + 2gn_T^0(\mathbf{r} = 0, \mu = 0) . \quad (15)$$

By expanding the r.h.s. of Eq. (13) around $\mu = 0$ and $T = T_c^0$, we obtain the following relationship for the shift $\delta T_c = T_c - T_c^0$ of the critical temperature

$$\begin{aligned} \delta T_c \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial n_T^0}{\partial T} &= -\frac{3\hbar\bar{\omega}}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial n_T^0}{\partial \mu} \\ &- 2g \int d^3\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial n_T^0}{\partial \mu} (n_T^0(\mathbf{r} = 0) - n_T^0(\mathbf{r})) , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where, for simplicity, we have dropped the argument $\mu = 0$ from the n_T^0 functions, here evaluated at $T = T_c^0$. The shift δT_c of the critical temperature is hence the sum of two distinct effects given by the two terms on the r.h.s. of Eq. (16). The first contribution is due to the finite number of particles present in the trap and after a straightforward integration gives exactly result (3) for the shift $\delta T_c^0/T_c^0$. The second contribution instead arises from interaction effects and takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta T_c^{int}}{T_c^0} &= -\frac{2g}{T_c^0} \frac{\int d^3\mathbf{r} \partial n_T^0 / \partial \mu (n_T^0(\mathbf{r}=0) - n_T^0(\mathbf{r}))}{\int d^3\mathbf{r} \partial n_T^0 / \partial T} \\ &= -\frac{a}{a_{HO}} N^{1/6} \frac{2^{3/2} \zeta(3/2) \zeta(2)}{3\sqrt{\pi} \zeta(3)^{7/6}} (1 - S) , \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $S = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/2} m^{3/2}} \frac{1}{(n+m)^{3/2}} / \zeta(3/2) \zeta(2) \simeq 0.281$. By evaluating explicitly the numerical factors one gets the relevant result

$$\frac{\delta T_c^{int}}{T_c^0} \simeq -1.33 \frac{a}{a_{HO}} N^{1/6} . \quad (18)$$

The shift δT_c^0 , originating from finite size effects, is always negative and vanishes in the large N limit. For an axially deformed trap it depends on the deformation parameter $\lambda = \omega_z/\omega_x = \omega_z/\omega_y$ through the ratio $\bar{\omega}/\omega = (\lambda + 2)/3\lambda^{1/3}$ and is minimum for an isotropic trap. Vice versa the shift δT_c^{int} due to interactions can be either negative or positive, depending on the sign of a , it increases as $N^{1/6}$ and does not depend on the deformation parameter λ , but only on the geometrical average ω . Furthermore it vanishes for a homogeneous system (see Eq. (17)) where the density n_T^0 is uniform, thereby showing that a shift in the critical temperature, linear in the interaction coupling constant, can occur only in the presence of an external trap. It is also worth noticing that in the present approach the relationship between the critical temperature and the corresponding value of the density in the center of the trap is unaffected by the interaction. The shift (18) is hence always associated with a change in the central density produced by interparticle forces. The prediction $\delta T_c = \delta T_c^0 + \delta T_c^{int}$, obtained from Eqs. (3) and (18), well agrees with the numerical values obtained in the self-consistent calculation discussed above and reported in Figs. 1 and 2. The relative importance of the two effects depends on the value of N . Notice however that the interaction effects depend very weakly on N and scale as $N^{1/6} a/a_{HO}$. This behaviour should be compared with the effects of the interactions on the low-temperature properties of the system (e.g. the size and the energy of the condensate) which instead scale [3] as Na/a_{HO} .

We finally note that according to the Ginzburg criterion critical fluctuations violate the mean field result in the region

$$\delta\mu = |\mu - \mu_c| \leq \frac{m^3 g^2 T_c^0{}^2}{\hbar^6} \quad (19)$$

where μ_c is the value of the chemical potential at the critical point. These fluctuations can affect the shift in the chemical potential at the critical point only to order g^2 . It means that the mean field approach can provide a reliable prediction of the shift of the critical temperature up to terms linear in the scattering length.

A more systematic discussion of the thermodynamic behavior of a trapped Bose gas, including the temperature dependence of the density profiles, the specific heat and the superfluid density will be the object of a future paper.

Useful discussions with Franco Dalfovo are acknowledged.

-
- [1] M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman and E.A. Cornell, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995); C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollet and R.G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1687 (1995); K.B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3969 (1995).
 - [2] M. Edwards and K. Burnett, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 1382 (1995); P.A. Ruprecht, M.J. Holland, K. Burnett and M. Edwards, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 4704 (1995); G. Baym and C. Pethick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 6 (1996).
 - [3] F. Dalfovo and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. A*, **53**, 2477 (1996).
 - [4] S. Stringari, cond-mat/9603126; M. Edwards, P.A. Ruprecht, K. Burnett, R.J. Dodd and C.W. Clark, cond-mat/9605170.
 - [5] M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.M. Kurn, D.S. Durfee and W. Ketterle, in press.
 - [6] D.S. Jin, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman and E.A. Cornell, preprint.
 - [7] M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.M. Kurn, D.S. Durfee, C.G. Townsend and W. Ketterle, preprint.
 - [8] V.V. Goldman, I.F. Silvera and A.J. Leggett, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 2870 (1981); D.A. Huse and E.D. Siggia, *J. Low Temp.* **46**, 137 (1982); V. Bagnato, D.E. Pritchard and D. Kleppner, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 4354 (1987); J. Oliva, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 4197 (1989); T.T. Chou, C.N. Yang and L.H. Yu, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 4257 (1996); K. Damle, T. Senthil, S.N. Majumdar and S. Sachdev, cond-mat/9604037.
 - [9] M. Bijlsma and H.T.C. Stoof, cond-mat/9605120.
 - [10] P. Grüter, private communication.
 - [11] After completing the present paper we have received a preprint by W. Krauth reporting the first results of a Path Integral Monte Carlo simulation of the thermodynamic behaviour of a trapped Bose gas. The results well agree with our predictions.
 - [12] E.A. Cornell and C.E. Wieman, private communications.
 - [13] K. Kirsten and D.J. Toms, cond-mat/9604031; A. Smerzi, preprint.
 - [14] S. Grossmann and M. Holthaus, *Phys. Lett.* **A208**, 188

- (1995) and Zeit. f. Naturforsch. **50a**, 323 (1995); H. Haugenrud, T. Haugset and F. Ravndal, cond-mat/9605100; W. Ketterle and N.J. van Druten, Phys. Rev. A, in press.
- [15] V.N. Popov, *Functional integrals and collective excitations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987), Ch. 6.
- [16] A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 9341 (1996).

FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the condensate fraction for a system of 5000 Rb atoms. The solid line is the result for the interacting case, the short-dashed line is the exact result for the non-interacting case, and the long-dashed line corresponds to the non-interacting case in the thermodynamic limit (Eq. (2)).

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the condensate fraction for interacting Na atoms. The solid line corresponds to $N = 10^7$, the short-dashed line corresponds to $N = 10^6$ and the long-dashed line to $N = 10^5$. The dot-dashed line is the result for the non-interacting case in the thermodynamic limit (Eq. (2)).

This figure "Fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9607117v1>

This figure "Fig2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/9607117v1>